## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application. Claims 1-8 and 21-28 remain in the application. Of these, claims 1 and 3-8 stand rejected, claim 2 stands withdrawn, and claims 21-28 are newly presented.

Claims 9-20 have been canceled, without prejudice, as a result of the Examiner's restriction requirement.

#### 1. Oath/Declaration

The Examiner indicates the Inventor's Declaration is defective as a result of typographical errors being found therein.

Applicants have reviewed their Declaration and can find no such typographical errors. Therefore, no correction is being made. A copy of the Inventor's Declaration is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

#### 2. Rejection of Claims 1, 3 and 4 Under 35 USC 102(b)

Claims 1, 3 and 4 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Goenka et al. (US Pat. No. 6,248,247; hereinafter "Goenka").

The Examiner seems to downplay the product-by-process limitations of applicants' claim 1. Specifically, the Examiner cites MPEP §2113 and §2173.05(p) for the proposition that, "A process limitation cannot serve to patentably distinguish the product over the prior art, in the case that the product is the same, or obvious over, the prior art." See Examiner's Office Action. However, MPEP §2113 actually states:

The structure implied by the process steps should be considered when assessing the patentability of product-by-process claims over the prior art,

especially where the product can only be defined by the process steps by which the product is made, or where the manufacturing process steps would be expected to impart distinctive structural characteristics to the final product. See, e.g., In re Garnero, 412 F.2d 276, 279, 162 USPQ 221, 223 (CCPA 1979) (holding "interbonded by interfusion" to limit structure of the claimed composite and noting that terms such as "welded," "intermixed," "ground in place," "press fitted," and "etched" are capable of construction as structural limitations.).

In applicants' claim 1, a sacrificial material is thermally decomposed to leave a "crossover circuit trace" crossing over "one or more circuit components". Unlike Goenka's sacrificial material, part of which is **etched** away, and part of which remains to provide supporting "pedestals 16" for an upper circuit trace, applicants' sacrificial material is **thermally decomposed**. In this manner, applicants can precisely define the cross-section of an air bridge, and can avoid a random process that produces "hourglass" pedestals 16 of somewhat unknown dimensions.

Applicants' claim 1 is believed to be allowable for at least the above reason. Applicants' claims 3 and 4 are believed to be allowable at least for the reason that they depend from claim 1.

If applicants' claim 1 is allowed, applicants request the reinstatement and allowance of claim 2 (which stands withdrawn as being drawn to a non-examined species).

# 3. Rejection of Claims 5-8 Under 35 USC 103(a)

Claims 5-8 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goenka, as applied to claims 1, 3, and 4 above, in view of Middlehurst et. al. (US Pat. No. 6,604,967; hereinafter "Middlehurst") and Leigh et. al. (US Pat. No. 5,986,893; hereinafter "Leigh").

Applicant believes claims 5-8 are allowable at least for the reason that they depend from an allowable claim 1, and because Middlehurst and Leigh fail to disclose the limitations of parent claim 1 (see previous argument, *supra*).

### 4. New Claims 21-27

Claims 21 – 27 are newly presented. Support for claim 21 is found, at least, in FIGS. 2 and 3. Applicants' claim 21 recites an additional novelty over Goenka. That is, the novelty that the "crossover circuit trace" is "directly supported by the substrate on opposite sides of the sacrificial material". In Goenka, the crossover circuit trace is fully supported by the remaining "pedestals" of sacrificial material. Claim 21 is therefore believed to be additionally allowable over Goenka. Claims 22-28 are believed to be allowable at least for the reason that they depend from claim 21.

#### 5. Conclusion

Given the above Amendment and Remarks, applicants respectfully request the issuance of a Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted, DAHL & OSTERLOTH, L.L.P.

Gregory W. Osterloth Reg. No. 36,232

Tel: (303) 291-3200

### **DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY** FOR PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10020307-1

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence/post office address and citizenship are as stated below n

iviy residence/post on	ice add	iess and citiz	cusinh are	as stated below tiex	t to my name,		
I believe I am the origioint inventor (if plura patent is sought on the METHODS FOR PROD	l name e inven	s are listed b tion entitled:	elow) of t	he subject matter wi	hich is claimed a	riginal, first and and for which	
the specification of w	hich is	attached here	to unless t	the following boy is a			
( ) was filed on				_		l Application	
I hereby state that I I including the claims, a disclose all information	as ame	nded by any	amendmer	nt(s) referred to above	ve. I acknowled	d specification ige the duty to	
Foreign Application(s) and/o	r Claim o	f Foreign Priority					
I hereby claim foreign priori inventor(s) certificate listed a filing date before that of the	below an	d have also iden	tified below a	any foreign application for	any foreign applicat patent or inventor(s	ion(s) for patent or s) certificate having	
COUNTRY		APPLICATION	NUMBER	DATE FILED	PRIORITY CLAIMED U	NDER 35 U.S.C. 119	
N/A					YES:	NO:	
		. ".			YES:	NO:	
Provisional Application I hereby claim the benefit u below:					States provisional	application(s) listed	
	AF	PLICATION SERIAL N	UMBER	FILING DATE	_		
		N/A					
nanner provided by the first nformation as defined in Titl application and the national o	e 37, Coo or PCT int	de of Federal Regernational filing of	gulations, Sec date of this a	ction 1.56(a) which occurr	nowledge the duty to red between the filin	disclose material g date of the prior	
APPLICATION SERIAL NUMB	ER	FILING DATE		STATUS (patented/pending/abandoned)			
N/A							
OWER OF ATTORNEY: is a named inventor, I here usiness in the Patent and Tra				and/or agent(s) to prose	cute this application	and transact all	
Customer I	Number	022878		Place Customer Number Bar Code Label here			
Send Correspondence to: AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Admi P.O. Box 7599 Loveland, Colorado 80537	i, INC.	Cynthia S.		To: or			
hereby declare that all nade on information are vith the knowledge the nprisonment, or both, alse statements may je	nd beli hat will under	ef are believe Iful false sta Section 1001	ed to be to tements a of Title 1	rue; and further that ind the like so mad 8 of the United Stat	these statemen de are punishab tes Code and tha	ts were made le by fine or	
ull Name of Inventor: <u>Mar</u> y	<u>⁄in</u> Glen	n Wong		Citizenship: USA	<b>A</b>		
	sidence: 93 Honey Hill Lane, Woodland Park, CO 80863						
				<del></del>			

Page 1 of 2

JUNE 2003

Post Office Address:

93 Honey Hill Lane, Woodland Park, CO 80863

# A. JRNEY DOCKET NO. 10020307-1 DECLARATION AND POWL.. OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION (continued) Full Name of # 2 joint inventor: John F. Casey Citizenship: USA 5135 Sapphire Drive, Colorado Springs, CO 80918 Residence: 5135 Sapphire Drive, Colorado Springs, CO 80918 Post Office Address: Full Name of # 3 joint inventor: Ling Liu Citizenship: P. R. China 505 Buckeye Drive, Colorado Springs, CO 80919 Residence: 505 Buckeye Drive, Colorado Springs, CO 80919 Post Office Address: Full Name of # 4 joint inventor: Citizenship: Residence: **Post Office Address:** Inventor's Signature Date Full Name of # 5 joint inventor: Residence: **Post Office Address:** Inventor's Signature Date Full Name of # 6 joint inventor: Residence: Post Office Address: Inventor's Signature Full Name of # 7 joint inventor: Citizenship: Residence: Post Office Address: Inventor's Signature

Date

Date

Citizenship:

Rev 12/02 (DecPwr)

Post Office Address:

Inventor's Signature

Residence:

Full Name of # 8 joint inventor: